Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI) Volume 12, Issue 6, June 2021: 4552- 4560

Research Article

Minimax Control Problem For Differential Inclusion With Uncertainty Parameter

Otakulov Salim Doctor Of Physical And Mathematical Sciences, Professor Jizzakh Polytechnic Institute, Jizzakh, Uzbekistan, <u>Otakulov52@Mail.Ru</u> Sobirova Gulandon Davronovna Teacher, Samarkand State Universitete, Samarkand, Uzbekistan Abdiyeva Habiba Sobirovna Teacher, Samarkand State Universitete, Samarkand, Uzbekistan

Abstract: In This Paper The One Class Of Controllable Differential Inclusions With Indefinite Parameter Is Considered. For This Model Of Dynamic Control Systems Under Conditions Of Indeterminacy The Minimax Control Problem Is Researched. In This Problem The Necessary And Sufficient Conditions Of Optimality Is Obtained.

Keywords: Differential Inclusion, Control System, Indefinite Parameter, Minimax Problem, Conditions Of Optimality

1. Introduction.

Differential Equations With An Single-Valued Right-Hand Side Are Ideal Models Of Real Processes That Do Not Take Into Account Measurement Errors, Incomplete Information About The Initial Data, And Random Changes In External Perturbing Forces. However, Such Deterministic Models Do Not Meet The Modern Requirements For Effective Management Of Complex Technological And Economic Processes, For Which Errors And Inaccuracies Of The Determining Process Parameters Are Significant.

To Describe The Dynamics Of Processes Without The Help Of Probabilistic Characteristics Of The Model's Uncertainties, One Can Use Differential Equations With A Multi-Valued Right-Hand Side (They Are Called Differential Inclusions), I.E. Relations Of The Form

$$\dot{x} \in F(t, x), \tag{1}$$

Where x Is A Phase Vector From The Euclidean N-Dimensional Space R^n , $\dot{x} = \frac{dx}{dt}$ Is A Velocity

Vector, T Is Time, F(t, x) Is A Given Multi-Valued Map, I.E., A Function That Corresponds To Each

Moment Of Time T And Each Point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ To The Set F(t, x) Of \mathbb{R}^n . The Use Of The Mathematical Apparatus Of The Theory Of Differential Inclusions For The Study Of Nondeterministic Processes, Including Control Objects (Systems), Allows Us To Obtain Results That Not Only Give An Assessment From Above, But Also New Qualitative Characteristics Of The Process Under Study.

The First Studies On Differential Equations With A Multi-Valued Right-Hand Side Were Carried Out In The Works Of S. Zaremba And A. Marsho In The 30 S Of The Xx Century. During The Next 25-30 Years, These Studies Did Not Attract Much Attention, Since They Were A Formal Generalization Of The Classical Concept Of Differential Equations.

Only After A. F. Filippov [1] Made The First And Successful Application Of Differential Equations With A Multi-Valued Right-Hand Side To The Problems Of Optimal Control, Since The 60s Of The Xx Century, There Was A Great Interest In Differential Inclusions. Studies Of Differential Equations With A Discontinuous Right-Hand Side [2, 3] Have Shown That The Generalization Of The Concept Of Solving Such Equations Is Possible Using The Differential Inclusion Model. The Differential Inclusion Model Can Be Applied To Describe Differential Inequalities And Implicit Differential Equations. Differential Inclusions Have Found Applications In Differential Games [4] And Mathematical Economics [5].

A Great Contribution To The Development Of The Theory Of Differential Inclusions And Their Applications To Optimal Control Problems Was Made By Filippov A. F., Wazewski T., Aubin J. P., Bridgland T. F., Castaing C., Kikuchi N., Clarke F., Cellina A., Olech C., Blagodatskikh V. I., Kurzhansky A. B., Pshenichny B. N., Aseev S. M., Plotnikov V. A., Polovinkin E. S., Panasyuk A. I., Tolstonogov A. A., And Other Scientists.

The Questions Concerning The Theory Of Differential Inclusions Are Very Diverse. Since Differential Inclusions Are A Generalization Of Differential Equations $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$ To The Case Where The Right-Hand Side Of f(t, x) Is Multi-Valueds, All The Usual Problems Inherent In Differential Equations Arise. These Include The Existence And Boundedness Of The Solution, The Continuous Dependence Of Solutions On Initial Conditions And Parameters, Periodic Solutions, Etc. On The Other Hand, The Differential Inclusion Has An Entire Family Of Trajectories From Each Starting Initial Point x_0 . As A Result, Other Specific Questions Also Arise, Such As The Closeness, Compactness, Convexity And Connectivity Of The Solution Family, The Properties Of The Integral Funnel And The Reachability Set, And Many Others [6]. The Theory Of Differential Inclusions Develops In Various Directions. We Study Differential

Functional And Integro-Differential Inclusions [7,8], Differential Inclusions With Delays[9], Partial Differential Inclusions[10], Pulsed Differential Inclusions [11], Differential Inclusions With A Fuzzy Right-Hand Side [12,13,14], And Other Classes Of Differential Inclusions And Their Discrete Analogues [15 -20]. A Wide Range Of Questions In The Theory Of Differential Inclusions Are Studied With A Wide Application Of The Theory Of Multivalued Maps, Convex And Nonsmooth Analysis [21].

2. Object Of Research And Methods.

In The Development Of The Theory Of Differential Inclusions, A Qualitative Shift Was The Beginning Of Research On Controlled Differential Inclusions Of The Form [16,18,22]

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \in F(t, x, u), u \in U, \qquad (2)$$

Where F(t, x, u) Is A Multi-Valued Mapping That Depends On The Control Parameter u = u(t). Differential Inclusions Of The Form (2) Arise In The Study Of Control Systems Under Conditions Of Uncertainty. In Fact, Let The Following Control Object Model Be Given:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x, u, w), \ u \in U,$$
(3)

Where u = u(t) Is The Control Parameter, And w = w(t) Is The External Influence Parameter, And The Information About This Parameter Is Minimal, I.E. Only The Area Of Its Change Is Known: $w \in W$. Under Fairly General Assumptions, I.E. When Functions f(t, x, u, w) Are Measurable By t, Continuous By (x, u, w), And w = w(t) Are Measurable, The Control System (3) Is Equivalent To A Controlled Differential Inclusion

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \in f(t, x, u, W).$$
(4)

It Should Be Noted That The Differential Inclusion (4), As A Model Of A Control System Under Uncertainty, Takes Into Account Various Possibilities Of Representing An Inaccurate Parameter $w \in W$, For Example, W=W(T,X) Or W=W(T,X,U).

In The Study Of Control Systems, The Properties Of A Family Of Solutions And An Ensemble Of Trajectories Are Of Particular Interest. Using Models Of The Form (1) And (2), It Is Possible To Comprehensively Study Various Structural Properties Of A Family Of Solutions And The Dynamics Of An Ensemble Of Trajectories, Depending On The Initial Data And Parameters. These Models Will Also Be Used In The Study Of Local And Global Properties Of Optimal Control For Control Problems Under Uncertainty.

The Problems For Differential Inclusions Are Of Interest From The Point Of View Of Their Practical Application To The Problems Of Various Classes Of Control Systems. For Control Systems In Conditions Of Uncertainty, The Tasks Of Controlling An Ensemble Of Trajectories Are Of Great Importance. They Lead To

Similar Problems For Differential Inclusions To Control Parameters. The Problems Of Controlling An Ensemble Of Trajectories For Control Systems Under Conditions Of Uncertainty And Differential Inclusions Are Considered In [16, 22 - 26].

For Differential Inclusions Of Various Classes, The Following Problems Are Important: The Controllability Problem; The Speed Problem; The Minimax (Or Maximin) Control Problem. These Problems For Differential Inclusions With Control And Other Parameters Acquire New Features And Features, Have Their Own Specifics, Which Is Manifested In The Applied Research Methods. The Problems Of Controlling An Ensemble Of Trajectories With Different Optimality Criteria Have Their Own Statements And Corresponding Results For Discrete Analogs Of Models Of The Form (2). It Should Be Noted That The Practical Significance Of Such Studies Is Increasingly Increasing In Connection With The Development Of Numerical Methods And Information Technologies.

Questions Of Analysis And Synthesis Of Control Systems Lead To The Need To Study Models Taking Into Account The Influence Of Various Internal And External Parameters. The Class Of Such Models Includes Differential Inclusions With Control Parameters And Uncertainty [27, 28].

Consider The Model Of The Control System In The Form Of The Following Differential Inclusion

 $\dot{x} \in A(t)x + B(t,u) + D(t,q), \ x(t_0) \in X_0, u \in V, q \in Q, t \in T = [t_0, t_1],$ (5)

Where x - n-Dimensional State Vector, u - m-Dimensional Control Vector, q - k-Dimensional Vector Of

External Influences, $A(t) - n \times n$ -Matrix, $B(t,u) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $D(t,q) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $X_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$,

 $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. With Respect To Parameter q, We Will Assume That It Is Constant Over The Interval $T = [t_0, t_1]$, But Only Its Set Of Possible Values Q Is Known.

The Control System (5) Will Be Studied Under The Following Assumptions:

1) The Elements Of Matrix A(t) Are Summable By $T = [t_0, t_1]$;

2) $X_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ – Convex Compacts;

3) For Any $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, $u \in V, q \in Q$ Sets B(t, u) And D(t, q) Non-Empty Compacts Of \mathbb{R}^n ;

K ;4) The Multi-Valued Map $(t, u) \to B(t, u)$ Is Measurable By $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Continuous By $u \in V$, And Integrally Bounded, I.E. There Is A Function $T = [t_0, t_1]$ Summable By $\beta_B(t)$ Such That $\sup\{\|\gamma\| : \gamma \in B(t, u)\} \leq \beta_B(t)\}, (t, u) \in T \times V$;

5) The Multi-Valued Map $(t,q) \rightarrow D(t,q)$ Is Measurable By $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Continuous By $q \in Q$, And Integrally Bounded, I.E. There Is A Function $T = [t_0, t_1]$ Summable By $\beta_D(t)$ Such That $\sup\{\|\gamma\| : \gamma \in B(t,u)\} \leq \beta_D(t), (t,u) \in T \times V$;

Definition 1. By Admissible Controls For System (5), We Mean Measurable Bounded m-Vector Functions u = u(t), $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Which Take Almost Everywhere By $T = [t_0, t_1]$ Values From The Convex Compact V.

Definition 2. An Admissible Trajectory Corresponding To Control u = u(t), $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, And Parameter $q \in Q$ Is An Absolutely Continuous *n*-Vector Function x(t) = x(t, u, q) That Satisfies Almost Everywhere On $T = [t_0, t_1]$ The Differential Inclusion (5) And The Initial Condition $x(t_0) \in X_0$.

Let: U – The Set Of All Permissible Controls; H(u,q) – The Set Of Admissibly Trajectories Corresponding To The Pair $(u,q) \in U \times Q$; $X(t,u,q) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \xi = x(t), x(\cdot) \in H(u,q)\}$. The Multi-Valued Map $t \to X(t,u,q), t \in T = [t_0,t_1]$, Which Describes The Dynamics Of The Set Of Permissible Trajectories H(u,q) On The Time Interval $T = [t_0, t_1]$, Is Usually Called The Ensemble Of Trajectories Of The Control System (5).

For Model (5), Different Topological Properties Of Sets H(u,q) And X(t,u,q) Are Important. Using The Methods Of [22], We Can Study The Convexity And Compactness Properties Of Sets H(u,q)And X(t,u,q), As Well As The Continuity, Closure, And Convexity Of Multi-Valued Maps: $(u,q) \rightarrow H_T(u,q), (t,u,q) \rightarrow X(t,u,q)$.

Consider The Set $X_1(u,q) = X(t_1, u, q)$, Which Is The Set Of All Such Points Of The State Space That Can Be Reached At Moment t_1 , Moving Along The Admissible Trajectories Of $x(\cdot) \in H(u,q)$. This Reachability Set Of System (5) For A Fixed Pair $(u,q) \in U \times Q$ Characterizes The Terminal State Of Its Ensemble Of Trajectories.

We Will Manage This Terminal State $X_1(u,q)$ By Evaluating The Quality Of The Control Process Using The Following Non-Smooth Functionality:

$$g(X_1(u,q)) = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^{l} \min_{z_i \in Z_i} (z_i, P\xi) : \xi \in X_1(u,q)\},$$
(6)

Where $P - s \times n$ Is A Matrix, Z_i , $i = \overline{1, l}$, Are Compacts Of R^s . Given That In The System (5), Parameter $q \in Q$ Has The Character Of Uncertainty, The Terminal State $X_1(u, q)$ Will Be Controlled According To The Minimax Principle, I.E., According To The Principle Of Obtaining A Guaranteed Result. So, The Following Minimax Problem Is Considered

$$\sup_{q \in Q} g(X_1(u,q)) \to \min, \ u \in U .$$
⁽⁷⁾

Control $u^* \in U$, Minimizing Non-Smooth Functionality Of The Form $J(u) = \sup g(X_1(u,q))$ (8)

We Call Optimal Control In Problem (7).

 $q \in Q$

This Minimax Problem (7) Belongs To The Class Of Nonsmooth Optimal Control Problems. Here We Will Study The Necessary And Sufficient Conditions Optimality In Problem (7).

Let $F(t,\tau)$ Be The Fundamental Matrix Of Solutions To Equation $\dot{x} = A(t)x$, $F(\tau,\tau) = E$. According To The Results Of The Theory Of Differential Inclusions, For Any $(u,q) \in U \times Q$, The Set $X_1(u,q)$ Has The Representation:

$$X_1(u,q) = F(t_1,t_0)X_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(t_1,t)[B(t,u(t)) + D(t,q)]dt.$$
(9)

Let $C(Y,\psi) = \sup_{y \in Y} (y,\psi)$ Be A Support Function Of A Bounded Set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Using Formula

(9), The Properties Of The Support Functions And The Integral Of Multi-Valued Maps, We Obtain That For Any $(u,q) \in U \times Q$, The Set $X_1(u,q)$ Is A Convex Compact Of \mathbb{R}^n And Its Support Function Has The Form:

$$C(X_1(u,q),\psi) = C(F(t_1,t_0)X_0,\psi) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(F(t_1,t)[B(t,u(t)) + D(t,q)],\psi)dt.$$
(10)

Further, Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \min_{z_i \in Z_i} (z_i, P\xi) = \min_{z_i \in Z_i, i=1, l} (P\xi, \sum_{i=1}^{l} z_i) = \min_{z \in coZ} (P\xi, z) = \min_{z \in coZ} (\xi, P'z),$$

Then, Using The Formula (10) And The Minimax Theorem From Convex Analysis, We Obtain That For The Functional (6) The Formula Is Valid

$$g(X_1(u,q)) = \min_{z \in coZ} \{ C(F(t_1,t_0)X_0,P'z) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(F(t_1,t)[B(t,u(t)) + D(t,q)],P'z)dt \},$$
(11)

Где $z = \sum_{i=1}^{l} z_i$, $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{l} Z_i$, coZ –The Convex Hull Of The Set Z.

3. Main Results.

In The Future, We Will Assume That, Along With The Conditions 1) - 5), The Condition Is Satisfied: 6) The Support Function Of The Set D(t,q) Is Concave By $q \in Q$.

Using (8), (10), And (11), We Have:

$$J(u) = \max_{q \in Q} \min_{z \in coZ} \gamma(u, q, z), \qquad (12)$$

Where

$$\gamma(u,q,z) = C(X_0, \psi(t_0,z)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t,u(t)) + D(t,q), \psi(t,z)) dt ,$$

 $\psi(t,z)$ - Absolutely Continuous Solution Of System $\dot{\psi} = A'(t)\psi$, $\psi(t_1) = P'z$. Functional $\gamma(u,q,z)$ Is Concave By $q \in Q$ And Convex By $z \in coZ$. Therefore, Applying The Minimax Theorem Mentioned Above, We Obtain The Following Formula From (12):

$$J(u) = \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z)) + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u(t)) + D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt].$$
(13)

Let's Introduce The Following Functionals:

$$\mu(u,z) = C(X_0,\psi(t_0,z)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t,u(t)),\psi(t,z))dt + \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t,q),\psi(t,z))dt, \quad (14)$$

$$\rho(q,z) = C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z)) dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt .$$
(15)

Theorem 1. Let:

1) $u^*(t), t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, – Optimal Control In The Problem (7); 2) $z^* \in coZ$ – Point Of The Global Minimum Of The Function $z \to \mu(u^*, z)$. Then For Almost All $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$ The Equality Holds $\min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z^*)) = C(B(t, u^*(t)), \psi(t, z^*)).$

Proof. Since $u^*(t), t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Is The Optimal Control In Problem (7), Then

$$J(u^*) \le J(u), \ \forall u \in U$$
, Where $J(u)$ Has The Form (8). Therefore, Using (13) And (14), We Have:
 $\min_{z \in coZ} \mu(u^*, z) \le \min_{z \in coZ} \mu(u, z), \ \forall u \in U$. (17)

Let $z^* \in coZ$ Be An Point Of The Global Minimum Of Function $z \to \mu(u^*, z)$. Then From (17) We Get

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u^*(t)), \psi(t, z^*)) dt \le \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u(t)), \psi(t, z^*)) dt, \ \forall u \in U$$

Therefore,

(16)

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u^*(t)), \psi(t, z^*)) dt \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z^*)) dt.$$

From Here We Get

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u^*(t)), \psi(t, z^*)) dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z^*)) dt .$$
(18)

Due To The Properties Of The Lebesgue Integral, It Follows From (18) That Equality (16) Holds For Almost All $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$ S.

Let Us Use The Result Of The Proved Theorem. First, Given (8) And (13), We Have A Chain Of
Equalities:
$$\min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt] =$$

$$= \min_{u \in U} \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u(t)), \psi(t, z)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt] =$$

$$= \min_{u \in U} \max_{q \in Q} g(X_1(u, q)).$$
(19)

Next, Let $u^*(t), t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$ Be The Optimal Control In Problem (7), And $z^* \in coZ$ Be The Point Of The Global Minimum Of Function $z \to \mu(u^*, z)$. Then, Using (8), (13), (14), (16), We Have:

$$\min_{u \in U} \max_{q \in Q} g(X_{1}(u,q)) = \min_{u \in U} \min_{z \in coZ} \mu(u,z) = \min_{z \in coZ} \mu(u^{*},z) = \mu(u^{*},z^{*}) = \\
= C(X_{0},\psi(t_{0},z^{*})) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(B(t,u^{*}(t)),\psi(t,z^{*}))dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(D(t,q),\psi(t,z^{*}))dt = \\
= C(X_{0},\psi(t_{0},z^{*})) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t,v),\psi(t,z^{*}))dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(D(t,q),\psi(t,z^{*}))dt \geq \\
\geq \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_{0},\psi(t_{0},z)) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t,v),\psi(t,z))dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(D(t,q),\psi(t,z))dt . \quad (20)$$

Now, Taking Into Account The Definition (15) Of The Functional $\rho(q, z)$, From (19) And (20) We Get That z^* Is The Point Of The Global Minimum Of The Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$. Therefore, The Following Necessary Optimality Conditions Are Valid.

Theorem 2. Let $u^*(t), t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Be The Optimal Control In Problem (7). Then There Exists A $z^* \in coZ$ – Point Of The Global Minimum Of The Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$ By coZ, Such That, For Almost All $t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Equality (16) Holds.

Now We Give Sufficient Optimality Conditions In Problem (7).

Theorem 3. Let $z^* \in coZ$ Be The Point Of The Global Minimum Of A Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$ By coZ, And $u^*(t), t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$ Be A Admissible Control Satisfying Almost Everywhere On $T = [t_0, t_1]$ The Relation (16). Then $u^*(t)$ Is The Optimal Control In Problem (7).

Proof. By Virtue Of Formula (13) And The Definition Of Functionals $\mu(u, z)$, $\rho(q, z)$, We Have: $\min_{u \in U} \max_{q \in Q} g(X_1(u, q)) = \min_{u \in U} \min_{z \in coZ} \mu(u, z) =$

$$= \min_{u \in U} \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_{0}, \psi(t_{0}, z)) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(B(t, u(t)), \psi(t, z)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt] =$$

$$= \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_{0}, \psi(t_{0}, z)) + \min_{u \in U} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(B(t, u(t)), \psi(t, z)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt] =$$

$$= \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_{0}, \psi(t_{0}, z)) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt] =$$

$$= \min_{z \in coZ} \max_{q \in Q} [C(X_{0}, \psi(t_{0}, z)) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z)) dt + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt] =$$

$$= \min_{z \in coZ} \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z) . \qquad (21)$$

Let $z^* \in coZ$ Be The Point Of The Global Minimum Of The Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$ By coZ

, I.E.

$$\min_{z \in coZ} \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z) = \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z^*) =$$

$$= \max_{q \in Q} [C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z^*)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \min_{v \in V} C(B(t, v), \psi(t, z^*)) dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z^*)) dt]. \quad (22)$$

Let The Admissible Control $u^*(t), t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Satisfy Almost Everywhere On $T = [t_0, t_1]$ The Relation (16). Then From (22) We Get:

$$\min_{z \in coZ} \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z) = \\ = C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z^*)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u^*(t)), \psi(t, z^*)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z^*)) dt \ge \\ \ge \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u^*(t)), \psi(t, z)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt].$$
(23)

Using (13) And The Definition (8) Of Functional J(u), We Have:

$$\max_{q \in Q} g(X_1(u^*, q)) = \\ = \min_{z \in coZ} [C(X_0, \psi(t_0, z)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t, u^*(t)), \psi(t, z)) dt + \max_{q \in Q} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(D(t, q), \psi(t, z)) dt].$$
(24)

It Follows From (23) And (24) That

 $\min_{g \in coZ} \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z) \ge \max_{q \in Q} g(X_1(u^*, q)).$ Now From The Relations (21) And (25) We (25)

 $\min_{u\in U} \max_{q\in Q} g(X_1(u,q)) \geq \max_{q\in Q} g(X_1(u^*,q)).$

On The Other Hand, It Is Clear That

$$\min_{u\in U} \max_{q\in Q} g(X_1(u,q)) \leq \max_{q\in Q} g(X_1(u^*,q)).$$

Taking Into Account (8), The Last Two Relations Imply The Equality

$$\min_{u\in U}J(u)=J(u^*)\,,$$

That Is, $u^*(t), t \in T = [t_0, t_1]$, Is The Optimal Control In Problem (7).

4. Discussion Of The Results And Conclusion.

Developing The Method Used To Obtain The Reduced Optimality Conditions, It Can Be Shown That If $u^*(t), t \in T$, Is The Optimal Control In Problem (7), Then There Exists A Point $z^* \in coZ$ Of The Global Minimum Of Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$ And A Point $q^* \in Q$ Such That Pair (u^*, q^*) Is The Saddle Point Of The Functional

$$h^*(u,q) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(B(t,u(t)) + D(t,q),\psi(t,z^*))dt.$$

The Necessary Optimality Condition For The Considered Non-Smooth Minimax-Type Problem Is Given In Theorem 1 In The Form Of Relation (16). It Should Be Noted That In The Case Where $(t, u) \rightarrow B(t, u)$ Is A Single-Valued Mapping, Condition (16) Takes The Form Well Known From The Pontryagin Maximum Principle [6].

To Apply Condition (16), The Global Minimum Point $z^* \in coZ$ Of Function $z \to \mu(u^*, z)$ Must Be Known. And In Theorem 2, It Is Stated That The Point $z^* \in coZ$ Used In Condition (16) Is The Point Of The Global Minimum Of Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$. Since Minimizing Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$ Does Not Require Any Information About The Desired Optimal Control, Theorem 2 Can Be Considered More Convenient From The Point Of View Of Practical Application.

Theorem 3 Actually States That The Optimality Conditions Obtained In Theorem 2 Are Sufficient. So, Combining Theorems 2 And 3, We Can Say That The Main Result Of The Work Is The Following **Optimality Criterion:** In Order For The Admissible Control $u^*(t), t \in T$ To Be Optimal In Problem (7), It Is Necessary And Sufficient That The Point $z^* \in coZ$ Of The Global Minimum Of Function $z \to \max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z)$ Exists

And That The Relation (16) Is Performed Almost Everywhere On $T = [t_0, t_1]$.

According To This Optimality Criterion, The Process Of Solving The Infinite-Dimensional Problem (7) Consists Of The Sequential Solution Of Two Types Of Finite-Dimensional Optimization Problems. First, The Auxiliary Minimization Problem Is Solved: $\max_{q \in Q} \rho(q, z) \rightarrow \min, z \in coZ$. After Finding The Solution

 $z^* \in coZ$ Of This Problem, The Optimal Control $u^*(t), t \in T$ Is Defined As The Solution Of The Parametrized Problem: $C(B(t,v), \psi(t, z^*)) \rightarrow \min, v \in V$.

In Conclusion, We Note That In The Work Developing The Research Methods [27, 28], The Results Are Obtained, Which Are The Theoretical Basis For The Development Of An Algorithm For Constructing Optimal Control In The Considered Minimax Problem.

References

- 1. Filippov A. F. On Some Questions Of The Theory Of Optimal Regulation. Bulletin Of The Moscow State University (1959), No. 2. Pp. 25-32.
- 2. Filippov A. F. Differential Equations With A Discontinuous Right-Hand Side. Moscow: Nauka (1985).
- 3. Barbashin E. A., Alimov Yu. I. K Teorii Releynykh Differentsialnykh Uravneniy. Izvestiya Vuzov, Matematika (1962), No. 1. Pp. 110-113.
- 4. Krasovsky N. N. Game Problems About The Meeting Of Movements. Moscow, Nauka (1970).
- 5. Makarov V. L., Rubinov A.M. Mathematical Theory Of Economic Dynamics And Balance. -Moscow: Nauka (1973).
- 6. Blagodatskikh V. I., Filippov A. F. Differential Inclusions And Optimal Control. -Proceedings Of The Mathematical Institute Of The Ussr Academy Of Sciences (1985), 169. Pp. 194-252.

- 7. Bulgakov A. I. Functional-Differential Inclusions With A Non-Convex Right-Hand Side. Differential Equations (1990), Vol. 26, No. 11. Pp. 1872-1878.
- 8. Plotnikov A.V. Some Properties Of Integro-Differential Inclusions. Differential Equations (2000), 36, No. 10. Pp. 1410-1414.
- 9. Duda E. V., Minchenko L. I. On Optimal Trajectories Of Differential Inclusions With Delay. Differential Equations (1997), Vol. 33, No. 8. Pp. 1023-1029.
- Sadygov M. A. Optimal Problems For Differential Inclusions With Phase Restriction. -Proceedings Of The Institute Of Applied Mathematics Of Baku University (2013), Vol. 2, No. 1. - Pp. 33-53.
- 11. Perestyuk N. A., Skripnik N. V. Averaging Of Pulsed Multi-Valued Systems. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal (2013), Vol. 65, No. 1. Pp. 126-142.
- 12. Baidosov V. A. Differential Inclusions With A Fuzzy Right-Hand Side. Reports Of The Ussr Academy Of Sciences (1989), Vol. 309, No. 4. Pp. 781-783.
- 13. Plotnikov A.V., Skripnik N. V. The Generalized Solutions Of The Fuzzy Differential Inclusions. Int. J. Pure And Appl. Math. (2009), Vol. 56, No 2. –P. 165–172.
- 14. Plotnikov A.V., Komleva T.A. Piecewise Constant Controlled Linear Fuzzy Differential Inclusions. Universal Journal Of Applied Mathematics (2013), 1(2). - Pp. 39-43.
- 15. Tolstonogov A. A. Differential Inclusions In A Banach Space. Novosibirsk: Nauka (1986).
- 16. Konstantinov G. N. Sufficient Conditions Of Optimality For The Minimax Control Problem Of Controlling An Ensemble Of Trajectories. Reports Of The Ussr Academy Of Sciences (1987), Vol. 297, No. 2. - Pp. 287-290.
- 17. Tiziana C., Raffaella S. Periodic Solutions Of Nonlinear Impulsive Differential Inclusions With Constraints. -Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (2004), 132 Pp. 2339-2349.
- Otakulov S. Minimax Control Problem For Differential Inclusions. Reports Of The Ussr Academy Of Sciences (1987), Vol. 296, No. 6. - Pp. 1296-1301.
- 19. Otakulov S. On Optimality Conditions In The Minimax Problem For Discrete Inclusions. Uzbek Mathematical Journal (1991), No. 3. Pp. 49 56.
- Otakulov S., Kholiyarova F.Kh. Time Optimal Control Problem Of Ensemble Trajectories Of Different Inclusion With Delays. Journal Of Advanced Research In Dynamic And Control Systems (2020), Vol. 12, Issue 6.- Pp. 1043-1050.
- 21. Clarke F. Optimization And Nonsmooth Analysis, Willey & Sons, Ney York (1983).
- 22. Otakulov S. Problems Of Controlling An Ensemble Of Trajectories Of Differential Inclusions. Lap Lambert Academic Publishing (2019).
- 23. Kurzhanskaya N. A. Optimal Control Of A Bunch Of Trajectories Of A System With Underdetermined Parameters. -Differential Equations (1985), Vol. 21, No. 3. Pp. 404-410.
- 24. Ovsyannikov D. A. Mathematical Methods For Controlling Bunchs Of Trajectories. Leningrad: Lsu Publishing House (1981).
- 25. Otakulov S., Rahimov B. Sh. About The Property Of Controllability An Ensamble Of Trajectories Of Differential Inclusion. International Engineering Journal For Research & Development (2020). Vol.5, Issue 4. -Pp.366-374.
- 26. Otakulov S. On The Minimization Problem Of Reachable Set Estimation Of Control System. Ifak Workshop On Generalized Solutions In Control Problems (Gscp–2004). Pereslavl-Zalessky, Russia, September 2004. -Pp. 212-217.
- Otakulov S., Sobirova G. D. Optimality Conditions In The Problem Of Controlling An Ensemble Of Trajectories Of Differential Inclusion. Uzbek Mathematical Journal (2008), No. 2. - P. 81-89
- 28. Otakulov S., Sobirova G. D. On Optimality Conditions In A Minimax Control Problem. Uzbek Mathematical Journal (2007), No. 4. Pp. 47-55.