HB. Sudhir¹ and Dr. S. Subramanian²

Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI) Volume 12, Issue 7 July 2021: 12371 – 12380

Research Article

Decomposition Of (A_h, Λ) -Continuity

HB. Sudhir¹ and Dr. S. Subramanian²

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of αg_{μ} - H -closed sets and $(\alpha g_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ - continuous functions in hereditary generalized topological spaces. Also we obtain a decomposition of $(\alpha_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity and $(\sigma_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity on a hereditary generalized topological space.

1 Introduction

In the year 2002, Csaszar [1] introduced very usefull notions of generalized topology (*G.T.*) and generalized continuity. A subset *A* of a space (*Z*, μ) is $\mu - \alpha$ -open [2], if $A \subset i_{\mu}c_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A)$. Let us denote by $\alpha(\mu)$ that of all $\mu - \alpha$ -open sets. The $\mu - \alpha$ -interior [2] of a subset *A* of a *G.T.S.* (*Z*, μ) denote by $i_{\alpha}(A)$, is defined by the union of all $\mu - \alpha$ -open sets of (*Z*, μ) contained *A*. A subset *A* of (*Z*, μ) is said to be αg_{μ} -closed [5], if $c_{\alpha}(A) \subset M$ whenever $A \subset M$ and *M* is μ -open in (*X*, μ). A nonempty family H of subsets of *Z* is said to be a *hereditary class* [3], if $A \in H$ and $M \subset A$, then $M \in H$. A *G.T.S.* (*Z*, μ) with a hereditary class H is hereditary generalized topological space (*H.G.T.S.*) and denoted by (*Z*, μ , H). For each $A \subseteq X$, $A^*(H, \mu) = \{z \in X : A \cap M \notin H$ for every $M \in \mu$ such that $z \in M$ [3]. For $A \subset Z$, define $c^*_{\mu}(A) = A \cup A^*(H, \mu)$ and $\mu^* = \{A \subset Z : Z - A = c^*_{\mu}(Z - A)\}$. Let *A* be a subset of *H.G.T.S.* (*Z*, μ , H) is α -H-open [3]), if $A \subset i_{\mu}c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A)$). A map $f : (Z, \mu) \to (W, \lambda)$ is (μ, λ) -continuous

⁰Keywords : αg_{μ} - H -closed sets, $(\alpha g_{H}, \lambda)$ -continuous functions.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 54A05.

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics Prist University Tanjaavur, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Dean of Arts and Science, Prist UniversityTanjaavur, Tamil Nadu, India.

[1] (resp. (σ, λ) -continuous [4], (α, λ) -continuous [4]), if for each λ -open set M in W, $f^{-1}(M)$ is μ -open (resp. μ - σ -open, μ - α -open) in (Z, μ) .

Lemma 1.1. [[2], Lemma 2.2] Let (Z, μ) be a *G.T.S.* For any $L \subset Z$, we have

1. $i_{\alpha}(L) = L \cap i_{\mu}c_{\mu}i_{\mu}(L)$.

2 αg_{μ} -H-closed

Definition 2.1. A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is said to be α - H-closed, if A^c is α - H-open.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $i_{aH}(A)$ is the union of all α - H-open set contained in A.

Propositon 2.3. Let A be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $i_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cap i_{\mu}c_{\mu}^{*}i_{\mu}(A)$.

Proof. Let *A* be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $A \cap i_{\mu}c_{\mu}^{*}i_{\mu}(A) \subset i_{\mu}c_{\mu}^{*}i_{\mu}(A)$ $= i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A \cap i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A))))))$ $= i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(i_{\mu}(A))) \cap c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A))))$ $\subseteq i_{\mu}(c - \mu^{*}(i_{\mu}(A \cap i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A)))))).$ Hence $A \cap i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A)))$ is an α -H-open in (X, μ, H) and contained in *A*. Thus, $A \cap i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A))) \subset i_{\alpha H}(A).$ Now $i_{\alpha H}(A)$ is α -H-open in (X, μ, H) . Therefore $i_{\alpha H}(A) \subset i_{\alpha H}(A) \cap i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A)))$ $\subseteq A \cap i_{\mu}(c_{\mu}^{*}(i_{\mu}(A))).$ Hence $i_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cap i_{\mu}c_{\mu}^{*}i_{\mu}(A).$

Definition 2.4. Let A be a subset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $c_{\alpha H}(A)$ is intersection of all α - H-closed set containing A.

Propositon 2.5. Let A be a suset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then $c_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cup c_{\mu} i^*_{\mu} c_{\mu}(A)$.

Proof. Let A be a suset of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . Then

 $c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A)))))) = c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A))) \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A)))$ $= c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A)))$ $\subseteq A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A))).$ Now, $A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A)))$ is α - H-closed. Hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A))).$ Now, $c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A))) \subseteq c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(c_{\alpha H}(A))) \subseteq c_{\alpha H}(A).$ $\Rightarrow A \cup c_{\mu}(i_{\mu}^{*}(c_{\mu}(A) \subseteq A \cup c_{\alpha H}(A) = c_{\alpha H}(A) \text{ Thus, } A \cup c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq c_{\alpha H}(A).$ Hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) = A \cup c_{\mu}i_{\mu}^{*}c_{\mu}(A).$

Definition 2.6. Let (X, μ, H) be a hereditary generalized topological space. A subset A of X is said to be αg_{μ} - H-closed if $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M$ whenever $A \subseteq M$ and M is μ -open.

Theorem 2.7. Every μ -closed set is αg_{μ} -H-closed set but not conversely.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is μ -closed such that $A \subseteq M$ and M is μ -open. Now $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset c_{\mu}(A) \subseteq M$ and M is μ -open. Hence A is αg_{μ} -H-closed set

Example 2.8. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d\}$ is αg_{μ} - H-closedset but not μ -closed.

Theorem 2.9. Every α - H-closed set is αg_{μ} - H-closed set but not conversely.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is α - H -closed. Consider *M* be any μ -open set and $A \subseteq M$. Since *A* is α - H -closed, so $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M$ whenever $A \subseteq M$ and *M* is μ -open. Hence *A* is αg_{μ} - H - closed.

Example 2.10. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}$,

 $\{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d\}$ is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -closed set but not $\alpha - H$ -closed set.

Remark 2.11. The intersection of any two αg_{μ} - H-closed sets need not be αg_{μ} - H-closed set.

Example 2.12. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{a, c\}$ are two ag_{μ} -H-closed sets but $A \cap B = \{a\}$ is not $a ag_{\mu}$ -H-closed set.

Theorem 2.13. If a subset A of X is αg_{μ} - H -closed in (X, μ, H) , then $c_{\alpha H}(A)$ - A contains no nonempty μ -closed sets of (X, μ) .

Proof. Assume that A is αg_{μ} - H -closed. Let F be a non empty μ -closed set contained in $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$. Since $A \subseteq X - F$ and A is αg_{μ} - H -closed, $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq X - F$ and $F \subseteq X - c_{\alpha H}(A)$. Therefore, $F \subseteq c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) = \emptyset$, which implies that $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ contains no nonempty μ -closed sets.

Corollary 2.14. Let (X, μ) be a strong generalized topological space with hereditary class H and $A \subset X$ is αg_{μ} -H-closed. Then A is α -H-closed iff $c_{\alpha H}(A)$ -A is μ -closed.

Proof. Let *A* be α - H -closed. If *A* is α - H -closed $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A = \emptyset$ and $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is μ -closed. Conversely, let $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ be μ -closed set, where *A* is α - H -closed. Then by Theorem 2.13, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ does not contain any non empty μ -closed set. Since $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is a μ -closed subset of itself, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A = \emptyset$ and hence *A* is α - H -closed.

Theorem 2.15. If A is μ -open and αg_{μ} -H-closed in (X, μ, H) then A is α -H-closed in (X, μ) .

Proof. Let A be a μ -open and αg_{μ} -H-closed in (X, μ, H) . Then $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq A$ and hence A is α -H-closed in (X, μ) .

Propositon 2.16. A subset A of X is αg_{μ} - H-closed in (X, μ, H) if and only if $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap F = \emptyset$ whenever $A \cap F = \emptyset$ and F is μ -closed in (X, μ, H) .

Proof. Assume that *A* is αg_{μ} - H -closed. Let $A \cap F = \emptyset$ and *F* is μ -closed. Then $A \subseteq X$ - *F* and $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq X - F$. Therefore, we have $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap F = \emptyset$. Conversely, let $A \subseteq M$ and *M* be μ -open. Then $A \cap (X - M) = \emptyset$ and X - M is μ -closed. By hypothesis, $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - M) = \emptyset$ and hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M$. Therefore, *A* is an αg_{μ} -H-closed set.

Theorem 2.17. For a subset A of (X, μ) , the following properties are equivalent:

- 1. A is μ -locally closed,
- 2. $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$ for some $U \in \mu$,

3. $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is μ -closed,

4. $A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) \in \mu$,

5. $A \subset i_{\alpha H}(A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A))).$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $A = U \cap V$, where $U \in \mu$ and V is μ -closed. Since $A \subset V$, we have $c_{aH}(A) \subset c_{aH}(V) \subset c_{\mu}(V) = V$. Since $A \subset U \cap c_{aH}(A) \subset U \cap V = A$. Therefore, we obtain $A = U \cap c_{aH}(A)$ for some $U \in \mu$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$ for some $U \in \mu$. Then, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A = c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap [X - (U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A))] = c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - U)$. Since $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap (X - U)$ is μ -closed and hence, $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ is μ -closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). We have $X - (c_{aH}(A) - A) = (X - c_{aH}(A)) \cup A$ and hence, by (3) we obtain $A \cup (X - c_{aH}(A)) \in \mu$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). By (4), $A \subset A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A)) = i_{\alpha H}(A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A))).$

 $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $U = i_{\alpha H}[A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A))]$. Then, $U \in \mu$ and $A = A \cap U \subset U \cap c_{\mu}(A) \subset [A \cup (X - c_{\alpha H}(A))] \cap c_{\mu}(A) = A \cap c_{\mu}(A) = A$. Therefore, we obtain $A = U \cap c_{\mu}(A)$, where $U \in \mu$ and $c_{\mu}(A)$ is μ -closed. Hence A is μ -locally closed.

Theorem 2.18. Let A and B be subsets of a hereditary generalized topologicalspace (X, μ, H) . If $A \subset B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A)$ and A is αg_{μ} - H-closed, then B is αg_{μ} - H-closed.

Proof. Assume that $A \subset B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A)$ and A is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -closed. Then we have $c_{\alpha H}(B) - B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$. Let F be a μ -closed set such that $F \subset c_{\alpha H}(B) - B \subset c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$. Since A is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -closed, therefore $c_{\alpha H}(A) - A$ has no non-empty μ - closed subset and hence $c_{\alpha H}(B) - B$ contains no nonempty μ -closed subset. Hence B is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -closed.

Theorem 2.19. If a subset A of (X, μ, H) is αg_{μ} - H -closed and B is μ -closed, then $A \cap B$ is αg_{μ} - H -closed.

Proof. Suppose that $A \cap B \subseteq M$, where M is μ -open in (X, μ, H) . Then

 $A \subseteq (M \cup (X - B))$. Since *A* is αg_{μ} - H -closed, $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subseteq M \cup (X - B)$ and hence $c_{\alpha H}(A) \cap B \subseteq M$. Therefore, $c_{\alpha H}(A \cap B) \subseteq M$ which implies that $A \cap B$ is αg_{μ} - H -closed.

Definition 2.20. A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H - open$ if and only if A^c is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H - closed$.

Theorem 2.21. A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is αg_{μ} - H-open if and only if $F \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A)$ whenever F is μ -closed and $F \subset A$.

Proof. Assume that $F \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A)$ whenever F is μ -closed and $F \subset A$. Let $A^c \subset M$, where M is μ -open. Then $M^c \subset A$ and M^c is μ -closed, therefore $M^c \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A)$, which implies $\alpha Hc_{\mu}(A^c) \subset M$. So A^c is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -closed. Hence A is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -open.

Conversely, suppose that A is αg_{μ} - H -open, $F \subset A$ and F is μ -closed. Then F^c is open and $A^c \subset F^c$. Therefore, $\alpha H c_{\mu}(A^c) \subset F^c$ and so $F \subset \alpha H i_{\mu}(A)$.

Theorem 2.22. Every ag_{μ} - H-open set is ag_{μ} -open set but not conversely.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -open in (X, μ, H) . Then we have, $F \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A)$ whenever $F \subset A$ and F is μ -closed in (X, μ, H) .

Since $F \subset \alpha Hi_{\mu}(A) = A \cap i_{\mu}c_{\mu}^{*}i_{\mu}(A)$

 $\subseteq i_{\mu}c_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A)$

$$= i_{\alpha}(A).$$

Hence A is αg_{μ} -open set by Theorem 2.11 [5].

Theorem 2.23. Let A and B be subsets of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) . If $i_{\alpha H}(A) \subset B \subset A$ and A is αg_{μ} - H-open, then B is αg_{μ} - H-open.

Proof. Suppose that $i_{\alpha H}(A) \subset B \subset A$. Then $X - A \subset X - B \subset c_{\alpha H}(X - A)$. By Theorem 2.18, X - B is ag_{μ} - H-closed. Hence B is ag_{μ} - open.

Propositon 2.24. Let (X, μ) be a strong generalized topological space with hereditary class H. For each $x \in X$, either $\{x\}$ is μ -closed or $\{x\}$ is αg_{μ} -H-open.

Proof. Let $\{x\}$ be not μ -closed. Then $X - \{x\}$ is not μ -open and the only μ open set containing $X - \{x\}$ is X itself. Therefore $c_{\alpha H}(X - \{x\}) \subseteq X$ and hence $X - \{x\}$ is αg_{μ} -H-closed. Thus $\{x\}$ is αg_{μ} -H-open.

Remark 2.25. The notions of μ^* -closed and αg_{μ} -H-closed are independent.

Example 2.26. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d\}$ is ag_{μ} - H-closed set but not μ^* -closed.

Example 2.27. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{c\}$ is μ^* -closed but not αg_{μ} -H-closed set.

Definition 2.28. A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is said to be H-R-closed, if $A = c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$.

Theorem 2.29. Every H-R-closed is σ -H-open but not conversely.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ is H - R-closed in (X, μ, H) . Then $A = c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$, which implies $A \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$. Hence A is σ -H-open.

Example 2.30. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \mu = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and

 $H = \{\emptyset, \{d\}\}$. Then $A = \{c\}$ is σ -H-open but not H-R-closed.

Definition 2.31. A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) is said to be

1. a η_{μ} -H-set, if $A = U \cap V$, where U is μ -open and V is α -H-closed.

2. a sA_H-set, if $A = U \cap V$, where U is $\sigma - \mu$ -open and V is H-R-closed.

Remark 2.32. The union of two η_{μ} - H-sets need not be a η_{μ} - H-set.

Example 2.33. Let $X = \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{\varsigma_1\}, \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2\}, X\}$ and $H = \{\emptyset, \{\varsigma_2\}, \{\varsigma_3\}, \{\varsigma_2, \varsigma_3\}\}$. Then $A = \{\varsigma_1\}$ and $B = \{\varsigma_3\}$ are η_{μ} - H-sets but $A \cup B = \{\varsigma_1, \varsigma_3\}$ is not a η_{μ} - H-set.

Theorem 2.34. Let A and B be a subset of quasi topological space (X, μ) withhereditary class H. If A and B are η_{μ} - H-sets. Then $A \cap B$ is also an η_{μ} - H-set.

Proof. Let $A = U \cap V$ and $B = L \cap M$, where U and L are μ -open sets and V and M are α - H-closed sets. Now $A \cap B = (U \cap V) \cap (L \cap M) = (U \cap L) \cap (V \cap M)$, where $(U \cap L)$ is μ -open and $(V \cap M)$ is α - H-closed set. Hence $A \cap B$ is η_{μ} - H-set.

Theorem 2.35. For a subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) the following are equivalent :

- 1. A is η_{μ} H-set
- 2. $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$, for some μ -open set U.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let *A* is η_{μ} - H -set. Then $A = U \cap V$, where *U* is μ -open *V* is α - H -closed. So $A \subset U$ and $A \subset V$. Which implies $c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset \alpha H c_{\mu}(V)$. Therefore, $A \subset U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A) \subset U \cap \alpha H c_{\mu}(V) = U \cap V = A$. Hence $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$, for some μ -open set U. Here $c_{\alpha H}(A)$ is α - H - closed. Hence A is η_{μ} - H-set.

Theorem 2.36. In a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, H) , the following hold:

- 1. Every σ H-open is sA_H-set.
- 2. Every H-R-closed set is sA_H-set.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 2.37. For a subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space

 (X, μ, H) , the following are equivalent:

- 1. A is α H-closed
- 2. A is αg_{μ} H-closed set and η_{μ} H-set.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). This is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let *A* is αg_{μ} - H -closed set and η_{μ} - H -set. Since *A* is η_{μ} - H -set, then $A = U \cap c_{\alpha H}(A)$, where *U* is μ -open in (*X*, μ , H). So $A \subseteq U$ and since *A* is αg_{μ} - H -closed, then $c_{\alpha H} \subseteq U$. Therefore $c_{\alpha H} \subseteq U \cap c_{\alpha H} = A$. Hence *A* is α - H -closed set.

Theorem 2.38. Let (X, μ) be a quasi topological space (X, μ) with hereditary class H. Then Every sA_H -set is δ - H-open.

Proof. Let A be sA_H -set. Then $A = U \cap V$, where U is σ -H-open and V isH-Rclosed. Since U is σ -H-open, $U \subset c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(U)$. Now $A \subset U \subset c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(U) \Rightarrow i_{\mu}c^*_{\mu}(A) \subset c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(U)$. Since V is H-R-closed, which implies $A \subset V = c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(V) \Rightarrow i_{\mu}c^*_{\mu} \subset i_{\mu}(V)$. Thus $i_{\mu}c^*_{\mu}(A) \subset c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(U)\cap i_{\mu}(V) \subset c^*_{\mu}(i_{\mu}(U)\cap i_{\mu}(V)) \subset c^*_{\mu}[i_{\mu}(U\cap V)] = c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A)$. Hence A is δ -H-open.

Theorem 2.39. For a subset A of a quasi topological space (X, μ) with hereditary class H the following are equivalent:

- 1. A is σ -H-open
- 2. A is strong β H-open and sA_H-set
- 3. A is strong β H-open and δ H-open

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let *A* is σ - H -open. Then $A \subset c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A) \Rightarrow c^*_{\mu}(A) \subset c^*_{\mu}c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A) = c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A) \Rightarrow i_{\mu}c^*_{\mu}(A) \subset i_{\mu}c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A) \subset c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A)$. So $i_{\mu}c^*_{\mu}(A) \subset c^*_{\mu}i_{\mu}(A)$. Hence *A* is strong β - H -open and *sA*_H-set by Theorem (4.1.14.)

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let A is strong β - H-open and $sA_{\rm H}$ -set. Then A is strong β - H-open and δ - H-open by Theorem (4.1.16).

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let *A* is strong β -H-open and δ -H-open. Then $A \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu} c_{\mu}^*(A)$ and $i_{\mu} c_{\mu}^*(A) \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$. Now $A \subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu} c_{\mu}^*(A) \subset c_{\mu}^* c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A) = c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$, which implies $\subset c_{\mu}^* i_{\mu}(A)$. Hence *A* is σ -H-open.

3 $(\alpha g_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity

Definition 3.1. A function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is said to

DECOMPOSITION OF (α_{H}, λ) -CONTINUITY

- 1. $(\alpha_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a α -H-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ for each $V \in \lambda$.
- 2. $(\sigma_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a σ -H-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ for each $V \in \lambda$.
- 3. $(\pi_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a π -H-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ for each $V \in \lambda$.
- 4. $(\alpha g_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a αg_{μ} -H-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ for each $V \in \lambda$.
- 5. $(\eta_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a α -H-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ for each $V \in \lambda$.
- 6. $(R_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a H-R-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ for each $V \in \lambda$.
- 7. (sA_{H}, λ) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a α -H-open in (X, μ, H) for each $V \in \lambda$.

Theorem 3.2. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

- 1. Every $(\alpha_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous function is $(\alpha g_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous.
- 2. Every $(\alpha g_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous function is $(\alpha g_{\mu}, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. (1). Let $f : (X, \mu, H) \to (Y, \lambda)$ is (α_H, λ) -continuous function. Now $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\alpha - H$ -open for each $V \in \lambda$. Since $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -open. Hence f is $(\alpha g_H, \lambda)$ -continuous. (2). Let f is $(\alpha g_H, \lambda)$ -continuous, which implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\alpha g_{\mu} - H$ -open for each $V \in \lambda$. Now $f^{-1}(V)$ is αg_{μ} -open. Hence f is $(\alpha g_{\mu}, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}, \mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}, H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}, Y = \{p, q, r, s\} and \lambda = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s, t\}, \{p, q, s, t\}, \{p, r, s, r\}, Y\}.$ Let the function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is defined by f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r, f(d) = s, f(e) = r. Then the function f is $(\alpha g_H, \lambda)$ -continuous but not (α_H, λ) -continuous.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}, \mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}, H = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}, Y = \{p, q, r, s\} and \lambda = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s, t\}, \{p, q, s, t\}, \{p, r, s, r\}, Y\}$. Let the function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is defined by f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r, f(d) = s, f(e) = r. Then the function f is (ag_{H}, λ) -continuous but not (ag_{μ}, λ) -continuous.

Theorem 3.5. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

- 1. Every $(\sigma_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous function is $(sA_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous.
- 2. Every $(R_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous function is $(sA_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. Obvious.

Example 3.6. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, X\}$, $H = \{\emptyset, \{3\}\}$ and $\lambda = \{\emptyset, \{3\}, X\}$. Then the identity function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (sA_{H}, λ) -continuous but not (σ_{H}, λ) -continuou.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \ \mu = \emptyset, \{2\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, X\}, \ H = \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$ and $\lambda = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2, 4\}, X\}.$ Then the identity function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (sA_{H}, λ) -continuous but not (R_{H}, λ) -continuous. **4 Decomposition of** (α_{H}, λ) -continuity

Definition 4.1. A function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is said to

- 1. strong $(\beta_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a strong β -H-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ foreach $V \in \lambda$.
- 2. $(\delta_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a δ -H-open in $(X, \mu, {\rm H})$ for each $V \in \lambda$.

Theorem 4.2. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

- 1. f is $(\alpha_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity
- 2. *f* is $(\alpha g_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity and $(\eta_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity.

Proof. This is obvious from Theorem 2.37.

Theorem 4.3. For a function $f : (X, \mu, H) \to (Y, \lambda)$, the following hold:

- *1. f* is $(\sigma_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity
- 2. *f* is strong $(\beta_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity and $(sA_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity.
- 3. *f* is strong $(\beta_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity and $(\delta_{\rm H}, \lambda)$ -continuity.

Proof. This is obvious from Theorem 2.39.

References

- 1. A. Csaszar, *Generalized topology, generalized continuity*. Acta Math. Hungar., **96**(2002), 351-357.
- 2. A. Csaszar, *Generalized open sets in generalized topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., **106**(1-2)(2005), 53-66.
- 3. A. Csaszar, *Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes*, ActaMath. Hungar., **115**(2007), 29-36.
- 4. W. K. Min, Generalized continuous functions defined by generalized open sets on

DECOMPOSITION OF (α_{H}, λ) -CONTINUITY

generalized topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 128(4)(2010), 299-306.

- 5. T. Noiri, M. Rajamani and R. Ramesh, αg_{μ} -*Closed sets in generalized topological spaces*, Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mathematics **3**(2013), 66-71.
- 6. M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh, *Some new generalized topologiesvia hereditary classes*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. 30 **2**(2012), 71-77.
- 7. M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh, (ω_{μ}, λ) -continuity in generalized topological spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, **3**(10)(2012), 3696-3703.
- 8. M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh, A decomposition of (μ, λ) continuity in generalized topological spaces, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, **6**(1)(2013), 15 27.
- 9. A. Al-Omari, M. Rajamani and R. Ramesh, A *Expansion continuous maps and* (A, B) -*weakly continuous maps in hereditary generalized topological spaces*, Scientific Studies and Research, **23**(2) (2013), 13-22.
- 10. R. Ramesh and R. Mariappan, *Generalized open sets in hereditary generalized topological spaces*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **5**(2) (2015), pp 149-159.
- 11. R. Ramesh, R. Suresh and S. Palaniammal, *Decompositions of* (μ_m, λ) *Continuity*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **14**(4)(2018), 603-610.
- 12. R. Ramesh, R. Suresh and S. Palaniammal, *Decompositions of* (μ, λ) *Continuity*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **14**(4)(2018), 619-623.
- 13. R. Ramesh, R. Suresh and S. Palaniammal, *Decomposition of* (π, λ) -continuity, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology Engineering and Mathematics, 246-249.
- 14. R. Ramesh, R. Uma and R. Mariappan, *Decomposition of* (μ^*, λ) *-continuity*, American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology Engineering and Mathematics, 250-255.
- 15. R. Ramesh, *Decomposition of* $(\kappa \mu^*, \lambda)$ *continuity*, Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, **11**(VI), (2020), 2095-2101.