Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry (TOJQI)
Volume 11, Issue 4, November, 2020: 1401-1405

# Procurement Disruption Management: An Empirical Research

**Siburanj Thazhe Veetil[1],** (Research Scholar)
**Dr. Amit K Srivastava[2],** (Research Supervisor)
Department of Management
[1,2]Himalayan University, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh

Even though supply-chain disruptions literature is useful, it has tended to take a high-level view of the problem and look at it from a broad perspective (e.g. supply-chain management, risk development). According to many, interruptions can occur at any time, but it is difficult to find practical advice on how to cope with them within the short- and long-term development. We started a big development in the basis of supply chain division that included multiple industries and multiple methodologies because of the importance of the research requirements in this field. A wide range of challenges related to global developing source and supply-chain disruptions are explored in this research, which is multi-faceted in nature. Findings from our varied contacts with industry have shown a number of recurring themes and challenges. As part of this study, we'll address these critical concerns and describe how supply-chain development research can contribute to them.
**Keywords:**Supply-chain disturbances; Procurement confusion; Economic research; Social and economic system; Ability model; Sourcing; Supply constraints; Supply-chain lack of certainty; Actual studies; Demand risk

## 1. Introduction

When it comes to today's highly competitive and worldwide business climate, the supply chain is becoming ever broader and more complex. This environment makes it difficult to manage the supply chain effectively, and disruptions to the supply chain can exacerbate this difficultyLogistics problems, border closures, accidents and natural disasters, communication breakdowns, part constraints, design flaws, technical activities, and terrorist are all examples of outages.Poor supply chain management can lead to severe supply chain delays that lead to stock shortages, inability to satisfy customer demand, and cost increases, all of which can be costly. Inherently sensitive to interruptions, "the supply network could fail as a whole if any one part fails." There has been some research into the costs of supply chain disruptions and crises, but it has not yet been widely adopted by businesses. report on the findings of a firm survey, which forecasts a $50–100 million financial hit for every day that the supply chain is down. Examples of supply-chain interruptions that can be measurable include the following: After an 18-day walkout at a brake supplier factory in 1996, General Motors was forced to idle 26 assembly facilities and lose an estimated $900 million in profits. Boeing suffered a $2.6 billion loss in 1997 when two essential parts were not delivered on time from a supplier. Researched the stock market's reaction when companies publicly reveal supply-chain issues that delay production or shipping.**(Hendricks & Singhal, 2003)**Studying 519 supply-chain issue announcements, researchers found that they reduce shareholder value by 10.28%. According to the study, a disruption to shareholder wealth results in a dramatic drop of roughly 8%

and a recovery time of 50 trading days (assuming a recovery is possible). Overall, disruptions have a negative impact on performance and can be disastrous for a business that is subjected to a significant disruption. This fact alone demonstrates the relevance of this topic and the fact that supply-chain researchers should pay close attention to it. However, due to three interrelated developments and characteristics of present supply chain practises, the impact of this issue is expected to rise dramatically.

Despite the significance of managing supply chain disruptions successfully, research shows that most organisations are unprepared. It has been estimated that approximately 5% to 25% of Fortune 500 organisations are adequately equipped to deal with crises or interruptions **(Alpaslan & Mitroff, 2021)**Based on an empirical investigation, this paper outlines numerous essential challenges related to the comprehensive investigation and management of the harmful effects of power shortages As a corollary, will highlight scientific evidence that can provide as a springboard further for improving supply-chain disturbance systems thinking its approaches.

## 2. Objectives

In order to successfully manage supply chain interruptions, we concentrated our developing effects on the following three areas:

1. An effective method of finding supply-chain interruptions is necessary to properly developing from a supply-chain disruption (i.e., decrease or discriminate the specisleffects).
2. When does a business will be recovered from a disruption once it has been discovered?
3. Redesigning the supply chain to make it more resilient is one of our top priorities.

## 3.Methodologies

It was necessary to use several approaches in order to examine global sourcing supply-chain disruptions because of their wide range. As part of this study, we looked at a production chain, ran moderately conference calls across directors from such a range of businesses, and moderated another lot other participant observation. While in succeeding paragraphs,you will find a description of each of the approaches used in this study.

Multiple communication channels were used to Create an industry production chain situational analysis. To gain their viewpoints, researchers spoke with a large OEM, five from its offshore first-tier subcontractors, and a substantial warehouse/distribution facility related to international production process.Most of the information was gathered during our multi-day tour to the OEM's facilities. Our investigation developer's preparatory phase included these demonstrations and moderately individual interviews comprising logistics, buying, operating, including risk managerial staff.It was important to plan the interviews in a way that would allow us to review and improve the interview process. The presentations and the development within the key of OEM’s key executives were followed by meetings and discussions between our team and first tier supplier of OEM’s development, each of whom is in charge of a certain commodity.Somewhere at OEM's site, exchanges were conducted in English.

Product flow and disruptions/risk management were addressed by each first-tier supplier. For the second time, these presentations gave us the opportunity to glean important information from the data. When we interviewed the two vendors, we modified our semi-structured interviews slightly. We solicited and got feedback within the development of first tire suppliers regarding the interview questions. As a result of our research, we learned about the OEM, distribution points, and the first-

tier supplier's second-tier suppliers from a variety of angles. Finally, we got information from an important US East Coast warehouse/distribution hub. The distribution point was unavailable to speak with us in person, but they did provide written responses to the questions we had for them. Prior to, during, and after our on-site visit, we had numerous conference calls into the OEM's key executives, and we were given internal paperwork. We followed the standards and protocols outlined in and used qualitative data analysis methodologies such as those contained in throughout the whole case study of the automotive supply chain **(Miles & Huberman, 1984)**Based on the literature, we first devised an interview technique for assessing and mitigating potential risks. As part of our research, our arranged and analysis the data by subject conversation, which allowed everyone to look at the stats of each method's standpoint (for example, logistics).

**4. Results**

To round off this section of the study, we used the critical event technique to arrange three focus groups: (Flanagan 1954). In each group, participants detailed significant disruption inside this logistics system and the bank's responses (i.e. a critical incident).Rather than presenting only interruptions that the firm responded effectively to, our groups were instructed that they should also provide examples of those disruptions when the organization's response was less than ideal. All participants were asked to record their views and essential 'takeaways,' and the facilitators did so. All notes and thoughts were recorded within 24 hours of the conclusion of the focus group methodology, in this case of development methodologies.Three-pronged data reduction, display, and conclusion drawing/verification methods were applied in all three techniques, and the literature was incorporated into our study efforts**(Eisenhardt, 1989)**

The outcomes of our empirical investigation are summarised in this publication, which discusses the analysis and mitigation of supply-chain disruption implications. All of these challenges have one thing in common: executives all agreed that a quantitative supply chain evaluation is needed to identify high-risk supply chain nodes. However, there aren't enough of these tools to deal with the complexities and challenges of actual supply-chain disruptions, thus they aren't very useful. Research into supply-chain disruption management can be aided by the literature and technologies presented in each topic.

**4.1 Disruption discovery**

- **Visibility**

The development of semi interviews between the telephone and automatic case interviews focused heavily on visibility. Even in the most diverse industries and functional areas, every executive we spoke to underlined the need of visibility when it came to coping with disruptions **(Rupp & Ristic, 2000)**

If the supply chain is turbulent, uncertain, dynamic, or complex, is it better to have visibility at all times? We may be able to foresee the future based on our intuition, but additional scientific data is needed. It's also important to weigh the advantages of more visibility against the challenges of implementing it in chains that are dynamic, uncertain, and very complicated. There is no doubt that this line of investigation will have a considerable impact on both academics and practitioners. When it comes to real-time visibility, for example, our research shows that suppliers are badly missing. One line of inquiry might help with the development of a solid strategy for gradually increasing accessibility.**(Svensson & Barfod, 2002)**

- **Capacity**
  Capacity is another major discovery concern. As global sourcing has grown in popularity**(Trent & Monczka, 2002)**participants in our study have expressed concern about capacity concerns in remote international regions, particularly in major ports and transportation hubs. When asked about the impact of increased global sourcing on product flow, many respondents voiced fear that key hubs like Los Angeles and Shanghai might become saturated.
- **Prediction**
  There was a West Coast port strike that lasted at least six months, but few companies had a contingency plan in place in the event that the strike occurred. These businesses were caught off guard when the strike finally broke out, causing major disruptions to the supply chain. In computer science, a lot of research has been done on machine language translation.**(Menczer, 2003)**

**4.2 Disruption recovery**

- **Real-time supply-chain reconfiguration.**
  Disruption recovery has several significant concerns, some of them is procurement reorganisation in the aftermath of a disruption. If a given leg of either a circuit is not really possible or plausible, and how would the organization reroute/alter this parcel? When a supply-chain interruption occurs, this supply-chain reconfiguration must be done promptly and in real time to minimise or even avoid the effects of the disruption. Several responders mentioned the necessity for legitimate, dynamic supply transformation solutions. Several platforms now, as are many, remain tweet solutions that do not allowed for authentic production chain design adjustments.The use of agents in supply-chain models is a promising approach.**(Radjou et al., 2002)**a "adaptive agent" might be defined as "a software component that continuously realigns goals and processes." In supplier management designs, substances can all be employed to fluidly understand disturbance events and reconstruct the production process in consequence. There are two types of agents: those that are autonomous and those that interact with each other. Agents are tasked with doing a specific set of tasks. An agent can decompose and distribute a task to other agents in a global supply chain, which is interesting to notice. At this point, the work can be completed and reorganised.
- **Reachability analysis.**
- Damage management was another difficulty that arose during the rehabilitation process. To put it another way, if a disruption happens, the company must promptly assess the chain's impact. The Ripple Effect depicts the negative consequences of a stock outs, in which request fluctuations can propagate suppliers of raw materials, growing in scope as the disturbances progresses one tiered to tiered. **(Simchi-Levi, 2010)**Supply-chain disturbances upstream, downstream, and laterally can have a significant influence on the entire supply-chain system if they are not properly understood. It would therefore be of great help if a modelling methodology could be used to understanding how procurement interruptions will affect an organization and where the consequences will just be

**5. Conclusion**

To put it another way, supply chains have become increasingly international in scope. Many reasons have contributed to this shift toward a more global approach to product sourcing, with lower prices being the most important. Global sourcing has numerous advantages, but it also has some drawbacks that need to be considered. Negative effects might manifest themselves in a variety of ways, but they

are almost always the result of a supply-chain breakdown. When it comes to both practical and academic considerations, the supply-chain disruption knowledge base is in its infancy. Due to the sheer importance of just this problem for procurement strategy formulation and execution, we started a large observational study about power shortages consisting spanned different applications and approaches. In this study, scientific and technical services are interlinked just because it presents a strategy based on the findingspractise while considering the current supply-chain literature. Rather than give a comprehensive list of research that need to be conducted, the goal of this study was to highlight a few key areas that merit further investigation. Papers that emphasise and expand upon the concerns discussed will help us better comprehend the complexity of global supply chains and the inevitable disruptions that will occur, and they will also offer practitioners with vital tools to deal with these issues.

**Reference**

[1]. Alpaslan, C. M., & Mitroff, I. I. (2021). Exploring the moral foundations of crisis management. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *167*, 120713.

[2]. Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, *14*(4), 532–550.

[3]. Hendricks, K. B., & Singhal, V. R. (2003). The effect of supply chain glitches on shareholder wealth. *Journal of Operations Management*, *21*(5), 501–522.

[4]. Menczer, F. (2003). Complementing search engines with online web mining agents. *Decision Support Systems*, *35*(2), 195–212.

[5]. Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1984). Qualitative data analysis: A sourcebook of new methods. In *Qualitative data analysis: a sourcebook of new methods* (p. 263).

[6]. Radjou, N., Orlov, L. M., & Nakashima, T. (2002). Adapting to supply network change. *Forrester Research Inc, Cambridge, Massachusetts*.

[7]. Rupp, T. M., & Ristic, M. (2000). Fine planning for supply chains in semiconductor manufacture. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, *107*(1–3), 390–397.

[8]. Simchi-Levi, D. (2010). *Operations rules: delivering customer value through flexible operations*. Mit Press.

[9]. Svensson, C., & Barfod, A. (2002). Limits and opportunities in mass customization for "build to order" SMEs. *Computers in Industry*, *49*(1), 77–89.

[10]. Trent, R. J., & Monczka, R. M. (2002). Pursuing competitive advantage through integrated global sourcing. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *16*(2), 66–80.